

Testimony of Nazgol Ghandnoosh Director of Research The Sentencing Project

On Criminal Code Reform Commission

Before the Council of the District of Columbia, Committee of the Whole

June 17th, 2025

I'm Nazgol Ghandnoosh, Co-Director of Research at The Sentencing Project and a DC resident of Ward 4. I am also a member of the DC Sentencing Commission.

The Criminal Code Reform Commission (CCRC, "the Commission") plays a critical role in moving DC towards an informed, well-researched, and evidence-based lawmaking process. I feel proud as a DC resident to have witnessed the impact of their work on our legislative process. This work affects DC residents' lives in profound ways. By shaping the imposition and duration of incarceration for criminal offenses, CCRC's work impacts those who may lose their liberty to incarceration and the broader safety of our communities. I urge you, for the third year in a row, to reject the mayor's proposal to defund the Commission and to preserve the institutional expertise of the CCRC. Even a plan to temporarily defund the CCRC, with the intention of relaunching it in the future, would be harmful as it would result in the loss of institutional knowledge held by current staff. I hope that the Council recognizes that the Commission is a prized gem in our government that should be safeguarded locally and emulated nationally.

I elaborate below on two exemplary strengths of the CCRC: its success in amassing a vast body of knowledge about the criminal code locally and across the country, and its deliberative process of bringing together a wide range of stakeholders to reach a consensus about recommended reforms.

The Commission's Vast Expertise

Congressional interference prevented the Commission's landmark bill, Revised Criminal Code Act (RCCA), from becoming law. The RCCA was a much-needed modernization overhaul of the criminal code. But to advance justice, equity, and to reassert local autonomy, the Council has been and must continue to, at least incrementally, resume the work of passing the RCCA. The CCRC has been and should continue to play a key role in guiding these deliberations, as well as later in ensuring effective implementation of this law.

Recently, Councilmember Brooke Pinto—Chairwoman of the Committee of the Judiciary and Public Safety—introduced the Kidnapping Amendment Act of 2025, following an *en banc* decision by the DC Court of Appeals.¹ The bill addresses an issue that the RCCA had sought to correct, by defining the crime of kidnapping, which can impose a maximum prison sentence of 30 years, to require more than momentarily detaining a victim for another crime. Under the RCCA, if the detention of the victim was incidental to the primary offense such as robbery (that is, if the detention did not exceed the detention normally associated with the primary offense), then the defendant could not be convicted of both offenses. Councilmember Pinto's bill captures many elements of the RCCA's language and improves existing law by using culpable mental states, and

https://www.dropbox.com/scl/fi/r13yse8qfvs559s5bnv9a/Kidnapping-Amd-Act-and-Intro-

Letter_FILED.pdf?rlkey=pifx6ne8zlwjrwweurvnon97t&e=1&st=ybkqxryi&dl=0

¹ Pinto, B. (2025, March 24). Letter to Nyasha Howard.

creating proportionality by dividing the current kidnapping offense into two grades. However, with the CCRC's guidance, the bill could be clearer, such as by defining culpable mental states. The CCRC has helped and can continue to improve this legislative process, just as it should do with many other elements of the RCCA that should at least be incorporated incrementally going forward.

Last year, the Council turned to the expertise of the Commission while responding to acute crime concerns. The Commission drafted and advised on numerous provisions that were either considered by the Council, or passed into law as part of the Secure DC omnibus bill. This includes the reckless endangerment with a firearm offense, definitions of significant and serious bodily injury, changes to mental state required for the possession of a large capacity ammunition device offense, and merger provisions that prevent disproportionate and duplicative penalties.

The CCRC has also been comprehensively examining maximum penalties authorized under criminal codes in other jurisdictions, and completed a rigorous analysis of national sentencing norms. This kind of guidance will be critical again when the Council considers other future changes to the criminal code.

The Spirit of Code Reform

As criminologists and legal scholars have noted, key principles of criminal law contribute to the law's moral authority, which in turn promote public safety. That's because when criminal laws are seen as legitimate, people are more willing to allow the criminal legal system to address the harms that they've experienced, rather than give up hope in our public institutions and potentially take justice into their own hands.

But currently, DC's criminal code violates certain key principles of criminal law, which the Commission sought to address through the RCCA. The principle of proportionality means that more serious crimes are punished more severely. The Commission has identified vast inconsistency in the criminal code and the RCCA's proposed gradations would have addressed this problem.

Another key tenet of our criminal legal system is equal justice under law. This is something that DC residents see engraved on the front entrance of the U.S. Supreme Court, but we also know it remains a distant aspiration in a city that is 46% Black but where Black residents account for 92% of individuals sentenced for felony offenses.²

² District of Columbia Sentencing Commission. (2025). <u>2024 Annual report</u>; Calma, E. & Sayin, Y. (2023). <u>A look at who is incarcerated in D.C.'s criminal justice system</u>, DC Policy Center.

Reforms in the RCCA would have helped to reduce disparities produced by the criminal justice system. Eliminating nearly all mandatory minimums and scaling back extreme sentences are two ways that the RCCA would have reduced racial disparities in sentencing.³

The Commission Informs Debates

Legal experts and criminologists help to both broaden and deepen our understanding of criminal law and its consequences. But the lawmaking process for criminal laws in DC is both *democratic*—responsive to public debate on this highly charged issue—and *undemocratic*, because our bills live or die at the whim of people in the federal government who we did not elect. In both the public debate and in the Congressional one, CCRC has been a beacon of truth.

When a *Washington Post* editorial included a misleading characterization of how penalties change under the RCCA, Jinwoo Park, Executive Director of the CCRC, responded promptly with a letter to the editor to set the record straight.⁴

As members of Congress debated whether to respect the District's autonomy, or to overturn a law unanimously passed by a majority-Black legislature, my colleagues at The Sentencing Project and I relied heavily on informative documents produced by the CCRC that countered misinformation.

Unfortunately, facts do not always carry the day and so we're back to square one, with some bruises, and still the need to modernize DC's criminal code.

Unfinished Commission Business

In addition to serving as an indispensable repository of information about DC's criminal code and how it compares to others around the country, the Commission also plays a key role in bringing together stakeholders to arrive at unified recommendations. The voting members of the Commission's advisory group, which included the District's Office of the Attorney General and the U.S. Attorney's Office for the DC, unanimously approved the recommended bill which then became the Revised Criminal Code Act. This was a landmark achievement.

³ Ghandnoosh, N., Barry, C., & Trinka, L. (2023). *One in five: Racial disparity in imprisonment—causes and remedies.* The Sentencing Project; Muhammad, K.G., Western, B., Negussie, Y., & Backes, E. (2022). *Reducing racial inequality in crime and justice: science, practice, and policy.* National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine.

⁴ Park, J. C. (2023, January 17). D.C.'s criminal code retains significant penalties for violent crime [Letter to the editor]. *Washington Post*. https://www.washingtonpost.com/opinions/2023/01/17/dc-criminal-code-retains-significant-penalties-violent-crime/

The Commission also helped to inform the Council in the process of drafting and revising the RCCA. Even in vetoing the bill, the mayor stated that there was consensus on 95% of the bill's provisions and that the Council should proceed with passing a bill with those provisions.⁵ The mayor called those provisions a "significant and much needed update to our criminal code."

We must preserve, rather than abolish, the CCRC. We must double our efforts to overhaul the code via the RCCA and via further code reforms. As the Council and the mayor's office consider revisions to the criminal code, the Commission's expertise and facilitation will be particularly crucial in ensuring that any changes are based on facts and evidence, and are legally sound. Their recent work analyzing sentencing trends by crime type nationwide will provide critical context for assessing future bills.

And once we have revised our criminal code, the Commission will be crucial in ensuring that our new laws are properly implemented, and help to guide debates about additional revisions. CCRC has been, and will be, go-to experts for the DC Sentencing Commission and the Red Book Committee. They will help to develop training materials for practitioners, judges, and the Metropolitan Police Department. In addition, their planned statistical analyses of sentencing changes following the RCCA will be critical for understanding the impact of the law.

Conclusion

The CCRC has amassed a level of expertise into sentencing that no other DC government agency, or private-sector organization, offers. The Council should protect the Commission, which strengthens DC lawmaking and helps advance the cause of justice, equity, and autonomy.

⁵ Mayor's Veto Letter for B24-0416, "Revised Criminal Code Act of 2021." https://lims.dccouncil.gov/downloads/LIMS/47954/Other/B24-0416-Bill 24-416 veto letter from the Mayor.pdf

⁶ See note 4.